•	
Express Mail Label No.	Dated:

Docket No.: 03153/100G765-US1

(PATENT)

## HE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Stephen R. Quake *et al*.

Application No.: 09/800,435

Group Art Unit: 1631

Filing Date: March 5, 2001

Examiner: Mary K. Zeman

For: COMBINATORIAL ARRAY FOR NUCLEIC

**ACID DEVICES** 

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.142 AND 1.143

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear S I R:

In response to the Official Action mailed for this application on September 15, 2003 and in accordance with Rules 142 and 143 of the Rules of practice, please enter and consider the following remarks. It is believed that no fees are due for this response. Nevertheless, a Fee Transmittal form is included with this submission, specifically authorizing the Director to credit any overpayments and/or charge any additional fees during the pendancy of this application to our Deposit Account No. 04-0100.

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-105 are pending in this application. The Examiner has required, in the Office Action, a restriction of the pending claims to one of the following claim groups:

Group I: Claims 1-47, directed to methods for analyzing hybridization data from a array;

Application No.: 09/800,435 -1- Docket No.: 03153/100G765-US1

Group II: Claims 48-63, directed to methods for assigning oligonucleotide

probes to "subblocks" on an array; and

Group III: Claims 64-105, directed to methods for selecting sequence lengths for

probes on an array.

In order to be fully responsive to the Requirement for Restriction, Applicants hereby provisionally elect, with traverse, to prosecute the claims of Group I (*i.e.*, claims 1-47) directed to methods for analyzing hybridization data from a array. However, Applicants respectfully traverse the Requirement for Restriction and reserve the right to petition therefrom under 37 C.F.R. 1.144. In particular, and contrary to what is indicated in the Official Action, the pending claims of this entire application can be examined without any undue burden on the Examiner or the Patent and Trademark Office.

Under Patent Office examining procedures, "if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner *must* examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims directed to distinct or individual inventions." See, M.P.E.P. 803 (emphasis added). The groups of claims designated in this Official Action do not define methods or compositions which are sufficiently distinct to warrant separate examination and searches. For these reasons, Applicants respectfully request that the Requirement for Restriction be withdrawn, and that all of the pending claims be examined together in this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 14, 2003

By Samuel S. Woodley, Ph.D.
Registration No.: 43,287
DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. Box 5257
New York, New York 10150-5257
(212) 527-7700
(212) 753-6237 (Fax)
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

Docket No.: 03153/100G765-US1